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#### Abstract

In this paper we study symmetric channels and group codes over the quaternion group $Q_{8}$. We show that, related to these channels, there is a number $C_{Q 8}$, called group-capacity, which is less or equal than the capacity of the channel. Also we show that $C_{Q 8}$ is an upper bound for the rate of any reliable quaternion group code. Finally we show that the group-capacity equals the channel capacity.


Index Terms-Groups codes, symmetric channels, group capacity, non-Abelian group codes, quaternion channels.

## I. Introduction

Group codes as generalization of binary linear codes were introduced in [1], which focused on the optimization of the minimal distance. When combined with symmetric channels, group codes have good properties such as the symmetry of the Voronoi regions which implies the uniform error property (UEP) when decoding them.

A crucial difference between linear codes and group codes is in the structure of the $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x))$ channels through which they are transmitted. For a linear code $\mathcal{C} \subset F^{N}$, with $F$ a field, the size of $\mathcal{X}$ must be a prime power, one-to-one related with $F$. As a field has only trivial sub-fields, for the alphabet $\mathcal{X}_{s} \subsetneq$ $\mathcal{X}$ of any sub-channel, other than trivial, the respective subset $F_{s} \subsetneq F$ has no field structure. Then, there is no subspace $\mathcal{C}_{s} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{s} \subset F_{s}^{N}$. On the other hand, for a group code over a group $G$, the size of the channel alphabet is not restricted to being a prime power, and there may exist subchannels with alphabet $\mathcal{X}_{s} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{s} \neq\{0\}$, one-to-one related with a subgroup $G_{s} \subset G$, such that there is a subgroup $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ of the group code $\mathcal{C} \subset G^{N}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_{s} \subset G_{s}^{N}$. That is why, in this work, we can call these sub-channel codes as sub-codes.

For linear codes it is possible to prove Shannon's coding theorem. For group codes this may not be true as was shown in [2] and [3]. The sub-channels determine the existence of a number $C_{G}$, called the group-capacity of the channel which may be different from the channel's capacity $C$, more precisely, $C_{G} \leq C$. Moreover, any group code $\mathcal{C}$ with rate $R>C_{G}$ always will have error probability $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})>A$, for some fixed $A>0$. Therefore, in order to say that a group code achieves the channel capacity is necessary to check both $C_{G}=C$ and the Shannon's coding theorem for $C_{G}$, i.e., for any $R<C_{G}$ and for any $\epsilon>0$ there is a group code $\mathcal{C}$ such that $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})<\epsilon$.

This paper will deal with the group-capacity $C_{G}$ for the case $G=Q_{8}$, the quaternion group which is non-Abelian. It

[^0]is an extension of [3] where group-capacity was investigated for channels over Abelian groups. Also, it can be considered as a continuation of [4] where it was shown analytically that $C_{D 4}$, the group-capacity for the dihedral group case, equals the channel capacity. The main difference between both the dihedral and quaternion cases comes from the dimension of the respective channels. For the dihedral case the 8-PSK channel has dimension 2, whereas for the quaternion case the respective channel has dimension 4. The analysis of the entropies, related to the capacities of the sub-channels, in the dihedral case, are reduced to one-dimensional integrals thanks to the polar coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For the quaternion case, the four dimensions of its channel do not allow such reduction.

This paper is organized as follows:
In Section II, we present $Q_{8}$ as ordered pairs of the Cartesian $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, with a group structure called extension, and where $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are the cyclic groups of orders four and two, respectively. Also, it is derived a formula that characterize its subgroups. This formula will be useful to analyze the codes of the sub-channels determined by the subgroups of $Q_{8}$
In Section III, following [3], the $G$-Symmetric channels are presented. A list of their properties, useful for this work, are mentioned. One special $Q_{8}$-Symmetric channel is introduced as an example.
In Section IV, group codes $\mathcal{C}$ are defined as subgroups of $Q_{8}^{N}$. It is shown that for each subgroup $Q_{l} \subset Q_{8}$ there is a subgroup of the code $\mathcal{C}_{l} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ is isomorphic to some subgroup of $Q_{l}^{N}$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ is a group code for the $Q_{l}$-Symmetric channels, [Proposition 1].
In Section V, it is defined the group-capacity $C_{Q 8}$ of the $Q_{8}$-Symmetric channel. It is shown that any group code transmitting with rate above $C_{Q 8}$ will have its decoding error probability bounded away from zero [Proposition 2]. Finally, it is presented a Montecarlo technique based proof, proving that $C_{Q 8}$ equals the channel capacity $C$. [Proposition 3].

## II. The Quaternion group $Q_{8}$

After the Dihedral group $D_{4}$, the Quaternion group $Q_{8}$ is the best-known non-Abelian group with eight elements. One of the many ways to describe this classical group is by considering it as an extension of groups;

Definition 1: A group $G$ is said to be an extension of the group $H$ by the group $K$ if there is a normal subgroup $N \subset G$ such that $N \approx H$ and $K \approx G / N$, where the symbol $\approx$ denotes isomorphism of groups and $G / N$ is the group of the cosets determined by $N$, [5].
For practical reasons, in this work, a group extension $G$, of $H$ by $K$, will be denoted by $G=H \boxtimes K$. One particular case
of extension is the direct product of groups which is usually denoted by $H \oplus K$. The quaternion group is an extension $Q_{8}=\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are the cyclic groups of orders four and two respectively. This extension representation of $Q_{8}$ is fundamental for the analysis of the so called groupcapacity of symmetric channels having group codes over $Q_{8}$. The following Table gives an explicit representation of the elements of $Q_{8}$, as ordered pairs of the extension $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

| $g_{0}$ | $g_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $g_{3}$ | $g_{4}$ | $g_{5}$ | $g_{6}$ | $g_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(2,0)$ | $(3,0)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(3,1)$ |

The group operation for the pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is given by the formula;

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) *\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(a_{1}+3^{b_{1}} a_{2}+2, b_{1}+b_{2}\right) ; & \text { if } b_{1}+b_{2}=2  \tag{1}\\ \left(a_{1}+3^{b_{1}} a_{2}, b_{1}+b_{2}\right) ; & \text { if } b_{1}+b_{2}<2\end{cases}
$$

where the operations $a_{1}+3^{b_{1}} a_{2}+2$ and $a_{1}+3^{b_{1}} a_{2}+2$ are over the integers mod 4 and $b_{1}+b_{2}$ are over the integers mod 2. For instance $(2,1) *(0,1)=\left(2+3^{1} .0+2,1+1\right)=(0,0)$, also it can be verified that $(0,1)^{2}=(2,0)$.

## A. Characterizing the subgroups of $Q_{8}$

In order to determine how the group codes over $Q_{8}$ are and how they behave when transmitted through the quaternion channel, first we need to understand the structure of the subgroups of $Q_{8}$.

Lemma 1: Any subgroup of $Q_{8}$ can be written as $H \boxtimes K$, where $H$ and $K$ are such that $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $H=\{0\}$ implies $K=\{0\}$.
Proof.- The set $\{(0,0)\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ can be written as $\{0\} \boxtimes\{0\}$ and it is a trivial subgroup of $Q_{8}$, product of the trivial subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Also, for $2 \mathbb{Z}_{4}=\{0,2\}$, the set $2 \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{(0,0),(2,0),(0,1),(2,1)\}$ is a subgroup of $Q_{8}$. On the other hand, since $(0,1)^{2}=(2,0)$, the set $\{0\} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{(0,0),(0,1)\}$ is not a subgroup. These facts can be summarized by saying that whenever $H$ subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}, K$ subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $H=\{0\}$ implies $K=\{0\}$, the set $H \boxtimes K$ is a subgroup of $Q_{8}$.
But the converse, at first glance, seems to be incorrect: the subgroup $G_{1}=\{(0,0),(1,1),(2,0),(3,1)\}$, apparently, has not a representation $H \boxtimes K$ where $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. To remedy this, let us remember that $Q_{8}$ has three normal subgroups of order four all of them isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. One of them, certainly not $G_{1}$, was chosen to be represented by $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes\{0\}$. Then, changing this choice so that $G_{1}$ is represented by $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes\{0\}$ we will have $G_{1}=H \boxtimes K, H$ and $K$ subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ respectively.

Now, we will characterize the subgroups of $Q_{8}$ in terms of constrained arrays of integers $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$. For that, it is necessary to remember that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}\left(p^{j}\right)=\left\{g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}} ; p^{j} g=0\right\}$, the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ whose elements have order $p^{j}$, can be written as $p^{r-j} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, for any prime $p$. Then, by Lemma 1 a subgroup of $Q_{8}$ can be expressed by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})=\left[2^{1-l_{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}(2)+2^{2-l_{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}\left(2^{2}\right)\right] \boxtimes 2^{1-l_{3}} \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol + is the group operation of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $l=$ $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$ is an array of integers satisfying $0 \leq l_{1}, l_{3} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq l_{2} \leq 2$, and $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ implies $l_{3}=0$.

## III. $G$-Symmetric Channels

Groups are sets with algebraic structure strongly related to symmetries since its conception by E . Galois in the nineteen century [5]. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, related to it there is a group $G$ called the group of symmetries of $\mathcal{X}$, such that $G$ acts over $\mathcal{X}$. When the action of $G$ over $\mathcal{X}$ is transitive, the set $\mathcal{X}$ is called Geometrically Uniform Constellation GUC [6]. Thus, a GUC $\mathcal{X}$ with a symmetry group $G$ transmitted through a channel $p(y \mid x)$ such that $p(g x \mid g y)=p(y \mid x)$ for all $x, y$ and for all $g \in G$ is called symmetric channel [3]. More precisely:
Definition 2: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x))$ be a memoryless channel. If there is a group $G$ such that:

- $G$ acts over $\mathcal{X}$ in such a way this action is transitive and one-to-one,
- $G$ acts isometrically over $\mathcal{Y}$,
- $p(y \mid x)=p(g y \mid g x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and for all $g \in G$;
then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x))$ is called $G$-Symmetric channel.
From the above definition, the symmetry of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x))$ depends on the existence of a group $G$ satisfying the three conditions. That is the case of the BSC and BEC channels. They are $G$-Symmetric for the group of binary permutations $G=\{(),(12)\}$ [3]. On the other hand it may be the case that a channel to be symmetric for more than one group. For instance the 8PSK-AWGN channel is $G$-symmetric for both $G=\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ (cyclic) and $G=D_{4}$ (dihedral) [4], [3]. A final observation on Definition 2 is that the $G$-Symmetric channels preserve the two important properties of classical symmetric channels:
- The mutual Information $I(X ; Y)$ equals the channel capacity $C$ when $p(x)$, the probability distribution of the input set $\mathcal{X}$, is uniform. With this, the capacity of the channel $C$, can be computed with the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y \mid x) \log \left(\frac{p(y \mid x)}{p(y)}\right) d y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is an arbitrary but fixed element of $\mathcal{X}$, and $p(y)=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(y \mid x)$ is the probability density of $\mathcal{Y}$, page 94 of [7].

- All the codewords $\boldsymbol{c}$ of a group code $\mathcal{C}$, transmitted through a $G$-Symmetric channel, have the same probability of decoding error $p($ error $\mid \boldsymbol{c})=P_{e}(\mathcal{C} \mid \boldsymbol{c})$. Therefore, $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}} p(\boldsymbol{c}) P_{e}(\mathcal{C} \mid \boldsymbol{c})=P_{e}(\mathcal{C} \mid \boldsymbol{c})$. This property is known as the uniform error property (UEP).
An additional property of a $G$-Symmetric channel is that for each subgroup $H \subset G$, the sub-constellation $\mathcal{X}_{H} \subset \mathcal{X}$ over which $H$ acts transitively, determines a sub-channel $\left(\mathcal{X}_{H}, \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x)\right)$ such that it is $H$-Symmetric by itself.
Example 1: Let the elements $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{7}$ of $Q_{8}$ represented as in the Table of Section II. If $\mathbb{C}$ is the complex number
set, let $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be the constellation defined in the following Table where $i=\sqrt{-1}$

| $x_{0}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\binom{1}{0}$ | $\binom{i}{0}$ | $\binom{0}{1}$ | $\binom{1}{i}$ | $-\binom{1}{0}$ | $-\binom{i}{0}$ | $-\binom{0}{1}$ | $-\binom{1}{i}$ |

Consider the conditional Gaussian densities;

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y \mid x_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} \sigma^{4}} e^{-\frac{\left\|y-x_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \in \mathcal{Y}, x_{k} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\sigma>0$.
Using a matrix representation $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{C}, 2) \approx \mathrm{O}(\mathbb{R}, 4)$ of $Q_{8}$ we will have;

- $\left\|g_{k} y\right\|=\|y\|$, for all $g_{k} \in Q_{8}$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$,
- $x_{k}=g_{k} x_{0}$, for all $k=0,1, \ldots, 7$,
which shows that $\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p\left(y \mid x_{k}\right)\right)$ is a $Q_{8}$-Symmetric channel.


## IV. Group codes and symmetric channels over $Q_{8}$

For a given group $G$ and a positive integer $N$, it is customary to write the group of $N$-tuples of $G$ as $G^{N}=$ $G \oplus G \oplus \cdots \oplus G$, where $\oplus$ denotes the direct product of groups. Then, a group code $\mathcal{C}$ over $G$ is a subgroup of $G^{N}$ and it must be the image of some encoding mapping $\phi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow G^{N}$, where $\phi$ is a injective group homomorphism and $\mathcal{U}$ is a group, the uncoded group of real information. From this, $\mathcal{U} \approx \mathcal{C}$.
For the specific case of the quaternion group, a group code must be a subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{N}$. A useful formula, shown in [4], is $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{N} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{N} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{N}$, which means there is a normal subgroup $N_{0} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{N}$ with $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{N} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{N}\right) / N_{0} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{N}$. Hence, the structure of these $N$-fold subgroups must obey the structure of the single subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, based on Lemma 1. This means that a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{N} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{N}$ has to be a set $H \boxtimes K$, where $H$ and $K$ are such that $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{N}$ and $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{N}$ and $H=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ implies $K=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Therefore, a quaternary code $\mathcal{C} \subset\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{N}$ has the following structure;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{U} \approx\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{3}}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{3}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the elements of the array of exponents $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ satisfy the conditions $k_{1}+k_{2} \leq N, k_{3} \leq N$, and if $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=$ $(0,0)$ then $k_{3}=0$.

Proposition 1: Let $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})$ be, as in equation (2), a subgroup of $Q_{8}$. Then, there is a subgroup $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l})$ of the code $\mathcal{C} \subset Q_{8}^{N}$ such that $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}) \subset\left(Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})\right)^{N}$.

Proof.- The equation (2) can be reduced by doing the following operations: $2^{1-l_{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}(2)+2^{2-l_{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}\left(2^{2}\right)=$ $2^{1-l_{1}} 2^{2-1} \mathbb{Z}_{4}+2^{2-l_{2}} 2^{2-2} \mathbb{Z}_{4}==2^{2-l_{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}+2^{2-l_{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}=$ $2^{2-l^{*}} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$, where $l^{*}=\max \left\{l_{1}, l_{2}\right\}$. Thus, the group structure of $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})$ is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})=2^{2-l^{*}} \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes 2^{1-l_{3}} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l^{*}}} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{3}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for the same $l$, the subset of $\mathcal{U}$ defined by; $\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{l})=\left(2^{1-l_{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{1}} \oplus 2^{2-l_{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes 2^{1-l_{3}} \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{3}}$, is a subgroup of $\mathcal{U}$. By the isomorphism $p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m-n}}$, the structure of this subgroup is $\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{l}) \approx\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{1}}}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{2}}}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{3}}}^{k_{3}}$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l})=\phi(\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{l}))$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}) \approx\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{1}}}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{2}}}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l_{3}}}^{k_{3}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing equations (7) and (6) we have $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}) \subset\left(Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})\right)^{N}$.

The above Proposition 1 is telling us that each $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l})$ Symmetric sub-channel has its own group code, namely $\mathcal{C}(l)$. That is why, sometimes, $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ is called a sub-code.
Also, observe that for the trivial array $\boldsymbol{l}=(0,0,0)$, the subgroup $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l}=000)$ in equation (6) is $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l}=000)=$ $4 \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes 2 \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\{(0,0\}\right.$, the neutral element of $Q_{8}$. Thus, from equation (7), this array $l=000$ yields the trivial code $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}=000)=\{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})\}$ whose both rate and capacity are zero. On the other hand, computing the formula (6) for the array $\boldsymbol{l}=(1,2,1)$ we have that $l^{*}=\max \left\{l_{1}, l_{2}\right\}=2$ and $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l}=121)=2^{2-2} \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes 2^{1-1} \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=Q_{8}$.

Then, from (7), the respective code $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}=121)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}=121)=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{k_{2}}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k_{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly the full quaternion code $\mathcal{C}$ of (5). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l}=121)=\mathcal{C} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## V. THE GROUP-CAPACITY OF THE QUATERNION CHANNEL

Let $\mathcal{C}=\phi(\mathcal{U})$ be an arbitrary quaternion code, as in (5), generated by $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ with $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. The rate of the sub-code $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{l})=\phi(\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{l}))$ through the sub-channel $(\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{l}), \mathcal{Y}, p(y \mid x))$ is: $R(\boldsymbol{l})=\frac{\log |\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{l})|}{N}=\frac{\log \left(2^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}\right)}{N}=$ $\frac{\log (2) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}{N}$. For the maximal array $l^{*}=\left(l_{1}^{*}, l_{2}^{*}, l_{3}^{*}\right)=$ $(1,2,1)$ of (9) we have: $R(\boldsymbol{l}=121)=\frac{\log (2) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{N}=$ $\frac{\log (2)\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+k_{4}\right)}{N}=\frac{\log |\mathcal{U}|}{N}=R$, where $R$ is the rate of the code $\mathcal{C}$.

Since, in this quaternion coding, $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$, for any array $\boldsymbol{l} \neq(0,0,0)$, the $\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i} \neq 0$. Therefore, for $\boldsymbol{l} \neq$ $(0,0,0)$, the rate $R(\boldsymbol{l})$ is positive and is legitimate to setup the relation $\frac{R(\boldsymbol{l})}{R}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}$ from which is obtained;

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{R(\boldsymbol{l}) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}} ; \quad \forall \boldsymbol{l} \neq(0,0,0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3: Let $C(l)$ be the capacity of the sub-channel determined by $\boldsymbol{l}$. The group-capacity of a $Q_{8}$-Symmetric channel is defined by: $C_{Q 8}=\max _{\boldsymbol{k} \neq(0,0,0)}\left\{\min _{\boldsymbol{l} \neq(0,0,0)}\left\{\frac{C(\boldsymbol{l}) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}\right\}\right\}$.
Notice that for any $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \neq(0,0,0)$, the number $\min _{\boldsymbol{l} \neq(0,0,0)}\left\{\frac{C(\boldsymbol{l}) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}\right\}$ is upper bounded by $C\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{*}\right)=C$, the capacity of the channel. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{Q 8} \leq C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2: If a code $\mathcal{C}$ over the quaternion group has a rate $R>C_{Q 8}$ then its probability of error is bounded away from zero, i.e., $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})>A$, for some $A>0$.
Proof.- Let $\boldsymbol{l}^{m}=\left(l_{1}^{m}, l_{2}^{m}, l_{3}^{m}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}^{M}=\left(k_{1}^{M}, k_{2}^{M}, k_{3}^{M}\right)$ be the arrays such that $C_{Q 8}=\frac{C\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}^{M}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{m} k_{i}^{M}}$ and suppose there is a code $\mathcal{C}$ generated by an array $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ with rate $R>C_{Q 8}$, then, by (10), $R=\frac{R\left(l^{m}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{m} k_{i}}>$
 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}^{M_{2}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{m} k_{i}^{M}}$, then $R\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)>C\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)$.

However, if $R\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)>C\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)$, by the converse of Shannon's Coding Theorem, the probability of error of the sub-code $\mathcal{C}\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)$ is bounded away from zero, which means $P_{e}\left(\mathcal{C}\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)\right) \geq$ $A$, where $A$ is some positive number. Combining this witl the UEP of symmetric channels it can be seen that: $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})=$ $P_{e}(\mathcal{C} \mid \mathbf{0}) \geq P_{e}\left(\mathcal{C}\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right) \mid \mathbf{0}\right)=P_{e}\left(\mathcal{C}\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{m}\right)\right) \geq A$.

## A. The group-capacity equals the capacity

By the constraints about the arrays $\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{k}$ the conditions $\boldsymbol{l} \neq(0,0,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \neq(0,0,0)$ are equivalent to $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \neq(0,0$, and $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$ respectively. Defining the auxiliary function $f(\boldsymbol{l})=f\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}$, it can be checkec that $f\left(1,1, l_{3}\right) \geq f\left(1,0, l_{3}\right)$ and $f\left(1,1, l_{3}\right) \geq f\left(0,1, l_{3}\right)$ also $f\left(1,2, l_{3}\right) \geq f\left(0,2, l_{3}\right)$. Hence, denoting by $\boldsymbol{L}=$ $\{(1,1,0),(1,1,1),(1,2,0),(1,2,1)\}$ the group-capacity becomes: $C_{Q 8}=\max _{\substack{k \\\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)}}\left\{\min _{\boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{L}}\left\{\frac{C(l) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} k_{i}}\right\}\right\}$.

The group-capacity can be computed more efficiently if the arrays $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ are normalized. From $\log |\mathcal{U}|=$ $\log (2) \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i}^{*} k_{i}$ it can be seen that $\alpha_{i}=\frac{\log (2) l_{i}^{*} k_{i}}{\log |\mathcal{U}|}$ is a probability vector, i.e, $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$. Conversely, from each probability vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, with $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$, a group code can be generated making $k_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i} \log |\mathcal{U}|}{l_{i}^{*} \log (2)}$. Hence, the group-capacity of the quaternion channel can be computed with: $C_{Q 8}=\max _{\substack{\alpha \\\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)}}\left\{\min _{l \in \boldsymbol{L}}\left\{\frac{C(\boldsymbol{l})}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{l_{i} \alpha_{i}}{l_{i}}}\right\}\right\}$. For the sake of simplicity, for the array $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$, let us denote by $C_{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$ as the capacity of the sub-channel $(X(\boldsymbol{l}), \mathcal{Y}(\boldsymbol{l}), p(y \mid x))$. For instance, $C_{121}$ is the capacity of the sub-channel whose input alphabet is $\mathcal{X}(l=121)$ which by (7) is the full input alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ of the channel. With this notation, if $C$ is the capacity of the channel, we have that $C_{121}=C$.
From here:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{Q 8}= & \max _{\substack{\alpha \\
\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)}}\left\{\min \left\{\frac{C_{110}}{\alpha_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2}}, \frac{C_{111}}{\alpha_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2}+\alpha_{3}}, \frac{C_{120}}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}, C\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{3 C_{110}, \frac{3 C_{111}}{2}, \frac{3 C_{110}}{2}, C\right\} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

For the array $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}\right)$ the capacity $C_{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$ can be computed with the formula (3). For instance, if $\boldsymbol{l}=(1,1,0)$, by formula (6), the subgroup is $Q_{8}(\boldsymbol{l}=110)=2^{2-1} \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes$ $2^{2-0} \mathbb{Z}_{2}=2 \mathbb{Z}_{4} \boxtimes\{0\}=\{(0,0),(2,0)\}=\left\{g_{0}, g_{4}\right\}$ (Table of Section II). By the Table of Section III, the respective sub-constellation is $\left\{x_{0}, x_{4}\right\}=\left\{\binom{1}{0},\binom{-1}{0}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then the capacity integral (3) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{110}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right) \log \left(\frac{p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)}{p_{110}(y)}\right) d y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{110}(y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)+p\left(y \mid x_{4}\right)\right)$ and $p\left(y \mid x_{k}\right)$ is the conditional density (4). Now, notice that the capacity integral (13) can be interpreted as the the expected value of the function $f_{110}(y)=\log \left(\frac{p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)}{p_{110}(y)}\right)$, for the random variable $Y$ whose density is $p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)$. Then, by the law of large numbers, the capacity $C_{110}$ is $C_{110}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{110}\left(y_{k}\right)}{n}\right)$, where the


Fig. 1: $C=\min \left\{3 C_{110}, 1.5 C_{111}, 1.5 C_{120}, C\right\}$
sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is sampled in accordance to $p\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)$. The software Octave [8] has the command normrnd which makes this sampling job. In general, the capacity $C_{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}\left(y_{k}\right)}{n}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3: The group-capacity $C_{Q 8}$, of the channel of Example 1, equals the channel capacity $C$.

Proof .- Computing the capacities $C=C_{121}, C_{110}, C_{111}$ and $C_{120}$ with the Montecarlo method described in (14) it can be produced the data which allows Figure 1 showing that:

$$
C \leq 3 C_{110}\left|C \leq \frac{3}{2} C_{120}\right| C \leq \frac{3}{2} C_{111}
$$

for all SNRDB in the interval [0,15]. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\min \left\{C, 3 C_{110}, \frac{3}{2} C_{111}, \frac{3}{2} C_{120}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15) and (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{Q 8} \geq C \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, comparing (16) and (11) we conclude

$$
C_{Q 8}=C .
$$

## VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the group-capacity $C_{Q 8}$ is an upper bound for the transmission rate of any reliable group code over $Q_{8}$. Also, we have exhibited a Montecarlo technique based proof showing that $C_{Q 8}=C$. A couple of tasks to be done, to give continuity to this work, would be:

- To find a totally analytical proof for the equality $C_{Q 8}=$ $C$.
- To prove Shannon's coding theorem with respect to $C_{Q 8}$, that is, for any $R<C_{Q 8}$ and for any $\epsilon>0$ there is a group code $\mathcal{C}$ over $Q_{8}$, with rate $R$, such that $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})<\epsilon$. With this, it would be complete the proof that quaternion group codes achieve the channel capacity.
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